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Why we created the Superintendent Research Project

Despite the education workforce being dominated by women, inequities persist at the superintendent level — the top jobs have historically and disproportionately gone to men.

While much of the focus of research on the pandemic’s effects on education so far has been on learning, the crisis has also had severe consequences on the diversity of leadership in school districts nationwide. In January 2022, we released an analysis that reflected a vast underrepresentation of women’s leadership that worsened during the pandemic based on data gathered across the 500 largest public school districts in the U.S.

No other formal, publicly available data set currently exists to track superintendent turnover by district and demographics, and prior to launching this project, third party (i.e. not self-reported) data on education leadership turnover had not been collected since 2005.

The purpose of this ongoing research is to explore how various factors, including the pandemic, are increasing turnover and exacerbating inequities rooted in discrimination that historically exists in superintendent hiring practices; and to surface patterns and insights that may help us reverse this disturbing trend.
Sample Size
Drawing from The National Center for Education Statistics, we identified the 500 most populous school districts in the country, as well as the superintendent in each district. Since the start of the pandemic in March 2020, 194 (39%) of the 500 most populous school districts in the country have undergone or are currently undergoing leadership changes.

Data Quality
We have used hyperlinks to cite specific evidence (e.g., news articles or voter records) where possible, and noted the date we completed the analysis given the reality of ongoing resignations, appointments, and interim-to-permanent conversions. We used news articles and press releases to determine where each candidate worked prior to taking their current job. The data included here was collected and verified between March 1, 2022 and March 21, 2022.

Data Backup - Link to Data Set
For decades, women seeking the superintendency have climbed an **uphill battle**.

Women make up the vast majority of the workforce in schools – *76% of teachers are women* – but the number of women drops to **less than one-third** at the superintendent level.

**ILO Group’s January 2022 analysis** surfaced a stark and worsening gender gap among pandemic-era superintendent transitions. For those who lead our nation’s school systems, COVID-19 and its attendant challenges – from **pandemic-induced stress** to **political polarization** – contributed to record turnover and a **decrease in the proportion of women being appointed superintendent since the start of the pandemic** in the nation’s largest districts in which a transition occurred.

This problem isn’t unique to education. The challenges women face in rising to the tops of **companies**, **government**, and other organizations are well-documented. And in January 2022 – two years into a pandemic that drove women from the workforce in record numbers – men were (re)joining the labor force at a rate **27 times higher** than women, signaling that men had recovered all their losses since the start of the pandemic compared to women.

And yet: **research** collected during the pandemic suggests that across multiple sectors, women are actually **more effective than men at leading during a crisis**.
Earlier this year, we reviewed pandemic-era superintendent transitions by gender. Based on our analysis, the data reflects a decrease in the proportion of women being appointed superintendents since the start of the pandemic in districts in which a transition has occurred.

- Between March 2020 and January 2022, 186 (37%) of the 500 largest school districts in the country underwent or were currently undergoing leadership changes.

- As of January 2022, there were 141 (28%) female superintendents in the top 500 largest districts.

- In the 154 districts that had completed their transitions:
  - 106 (69%) of newly appointed superintendents were men, raising the cumulative proportion of male leaders in these districts from 65%.
  - Of the 51 female superintendents who left during the pandemic, 39 (76%) were replaced by men.
Since our last release, inequities persist: at the district level, women continue to be underrepresented among incoming superintendents. 

_A deeper look at the data reveals trends and patterns that warrant further demographic exploration._

The research surfaced _notable and significant geographic differences_ in terms of where women within the top 500 districts are most concentrated. As of March 21, 2022, the spread for those women superintendents* currently serving in permanent, interim, and outgoing roles was:

- 43 percent in the Northeast (16 women in 37 districts)
- 35 percent in the Southwest (34 women in 97 districts)
- 31 percent in the Midwest (20 women in 65 districts)
- 26 percent in the West (39 women in 149 districts)
- 24 percent in the Southeast (34 women in 152 districts)

Since July 1, 2021, 44 districts in the top 500 have announced that the superintendent will be outgoing at the end of the year. _Of the 17 who have named a replacement, 16 have been men._

*Since our January release, while there has been a net addition of two women in superintendent roles within the top 500 districts, the overall trend data has not changed noticeably since our last release. The geographic data here includes the net addition of two additional female superintendents that occurred between January and March 2022.*
Across the entire top 500 districts, women are less likely to be named permanent superintendents in districts that have selected their leader externally.

…but it’s not uniformly bleak. Based on our analysis, there's a higher likelihood that a district will be led by a woman if they chose their superintendent internally.

- 239 of the top 500 districts are currently led by a superintendent who was an external candidate – meaning someone whose last job was outside the district before taking on the superintendent role. Of these 239 districts, only 59 (25%) are led by women.

- 261 of the top 500 districts are currently led by a superintendent who was an internal candidate – meaning someone who had worked within the district before taking over as superintendent, for example, as a COO or Deputy. Of these 261 districts, 84 (32%) are led by women.

When tracking hiring patterns for all of the superintendents currently serving in the top 500 (including those hired before the pandemic), of the 143 total women who are currently leading districts, 84 (59%) were internal hires, while only 59 (41%) were external hires. These data suggest that women are more likely to be selected to lead when they’re already serving in an internal position in the district they apply to lead.

These insights suggest pathways to greater gender parity: one way to advance equity in superintendent searches is to raise districts’ awareness of the potential female candidates within their own system pipelines and for boards to support more intentional succession strategies/planning.
A review of the **state-level superintendent data** also reveals important findings.

- At the state level, there are proportionally far more female superintendents than there are in the top 500 districts. In fact, right now **women make up the majority – 53% (or 27 out of 51) – of those serving in state-level superintendent positions.**

- **Gender does not play a role in the average tenure** of current state superintendents – men have been in their positions for an average of three years and eight months, while women in the role have served for an average of three years and five months.

- Likewise, while there has been significant turnover (38%) of state superintendents since the start of the pandemic (March 2020), **the gender breakdown among new state superintendents has remained steady** (unlike at the district level, where an already egregious gender gap has widened).
Gender-based pay gaps are significant at the state level. 

*Worsening this disparity, women comprise the majority of state superintendents who serve in elected roles, which pay 40% less than non-elected roles.*

▶ Women comprise a majority of overall state superintendents (53%, 27 total) and a greater majority of elected state superintendents (73%, or 8 total out of 11).

▶ But elected superintendents’ salaries across genders are far less than those of non-elected leaders. Elected superintendents make an average of $123,643 compared to $204,892 for non-elected leaders, a difference of 40%.

▶ Based on the salary data that are available*, all female state superintendents currently receive, on average, 12% less pay than their male counterparts; and female elected superintendents make 26% less on average than elected male superintendents.
On average, the 27 female superintendents serving at the state level make $176,365, compared to the $199,745 average of the 24 male superintendents – meaning women across the board make 12% less. **The range of salaries is also wider for women:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Highest Salary</th>
<th>Lowest Salary</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male Superintendents</td>
<td>$310,000</td>
<td>$92,000</td>
<td>$218,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Superintendents</td>
<td>$324,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$239,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elected state superintendents make an average of $123,643 compared to $204,892 for non-elected leaders, a difference of 40%. **Elected women state superintendents make** $112,701, which is 26% less than elected men ($152,820) and less than the average for all elected superintendents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Highest Salary</th>
<th>Lowest Salary</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Elected Female Superintendents</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$324,000</td>
<td>$131,687</td>
<td>$192,313</td>
<td>$203,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Elected Male Superintendents</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$310,000</td>
<td>$92,000</td>
<td>$218,000</td>
<td>$206,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected Female Superintendents</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$127,047</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$42,047</td>
<td>$112,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected Male Superintendents</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$182,189</td>
<td>$123,270</td>
<td>$58,919</td>
<td>$152,820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stark disparities that surfaced in our research are rooted in discrimination…

- The problem exists in part because of **societal factors**: stereotypes about the capabilities of women and definitions of leadership predicated on traits associated with men, and existing networks that favor men.

- It’s also driven by **structures under the control of school systems**: skewed pipelines that favor men, bias in hiring processes, and a job description that makes it very hard on women who typically take on a disproportionate share of family and elder care-taking responsibilities.

… and our students are counting on us to close the gap.

- The **disparity between men and women leading our nation’s largest school systems** isn’t just unfair – it deprives millions of children of the leadership talent of so much of the pool.

- As we work toward helping a generation of children **recover from the pandemic**, it’s critical that we tap into the full range of talent to reimagine and redesign more equitable education systems, and that our education leaders better **reflect the diversity of the systems they represent**.
We have the power to change our systems to ensure more women, particularly women of color, are positioned to land the top jobs and achieve success in those roles.

*The foundational components are:*

- **Prioritize gender equity in recruitment and selection.** Search firms should have frank conversations with their clients around gender bias, and at least two women and leaders of color ought to be included in any superintendent candidate pool. According to this *study* from the Harvard Business Review, a finalist pool with only one woman or leader of color decreases the likelihood that the diverse candidate will be chosen for the role. A sole woman or finalist of color increases the likelihood that selectors will stereotype that finalist. A finalist pool with just two women increased the likelihood of a woman getting hired by 79 times.

- **Be Transparent.** Neither school boards nor search consultants are currently required to report to state agencies or to the public the number or qualifications of their applicants, let alone their gender or race/ethnicity. Setting clear, public goals for greater gender equity at the superintendent and state chief levels, and demanding that search firms and school boards commit to work toward those goals (and be transparent about progress) is a crucial step. In addition, *by not making* superintendent turnover and demographic data publicly available, federal agencies enable inequities rooted in discrimination that historically exist in superintendent hiring practices to go largely unchecked.
Support Families and Wellbeing. Work/life balance policies can help women (and everyone else in the organization) take care of themselves and balance other important commitments in their lives. These include flexible hours and telecommuting options, limiting evening and weekend meetings, job searches for spouses, stipends and/or assistance with childcare, and compensation packages that attend to health and wellbeing.

Be Financially Fair. Women superintendents tend to earn roughly $20–$30k less than male counterparts, according to the Council of Great City Schools. And this 2019 report found a similar gender gap of $25,000 when they examined the most recent publicly available salary data for education leaders at the state level. The solution is simple: pay women the same as you pay men for the same work.

Intentionally Foster Support Systems.
- **Provide coaching on the job to retain talented female chiefs.** It’s a difficult job, one made more difficult for women. The support of a coach who can encourage and challenge a chief is invaluable.
- **Develop networks of women.** Indeed, our own women leaders say that the Women In Leadership program ensured that they came easily to mind when a vacancy arose, built connections so that others were already enthusiastic about their capabilities, and gave them skills they’ll use on the job.
- **Sponsor rather than mentor.** Research has found that people with sponsors – superiors who take a hands-on role in managing career moves and promoting executives – are more likely to have the confidence to ask for stretch assignments and pay raises. Unfortunately, that study also found that men typically have twice as many sponsors as women.
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